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1. **Introduction**

1.1 CIVICUS is a global alliance of civil society organisations (CSOs) and activists dedicated to strengthening citizen action and civil society around the world. Founded in 1993, CIVICUS has members in more than 180 countries throughout the world.

1.2 The East and Horn of Africa Human Rights Defenders Project (DefendDefenders) is a regional CSO registered and based in Uganda. Established in 2005, DefendDefenders seeks to strengthen the work of human rights defenders (HRDs) throughout the East and Horn of Africa by reducing their vulnerability to the risk of persecution and by enhancing their capacity to defend human rights effectively. DefendDefenders focuses its work on 11 countries, including Tanzania.

1.3 In this document, the authors examine the Government of Tanzania’s compliance with its international human rights obligations pertaining to the space for civil society (civic space), and in particular to its commitments to create and maintain a safe and enabling environment for civil society. Specifically, we analyse Tanzania’s fulfilment of the rights to freedom of association, peaceful assembly and opinion and expression, as well as unwarranted restrictions on the activities of HRDs, since its previous UPR examination in 2016. To this end, we assess Tanzania’s implementation of recommendations received during the 2nd UPR cycle relating to these issues and provide a number of recommendations.

1.4 During the 2nd UPR cycle, the Government of Tanzania received 15 recommendations relating to civic space. Of these recommendations, four were accepted and 11 were noted. An evaluation of a range of legal sources and human rights documentation demonstrates that the Government of Tanzania has partially implemented three recommendations relating to civic space and has not implemented the remaining 12. While the government has taken a positive step in addressing the freedom of expression and access to information by amending the Statistics Act, thereby removing criminal liability for publishing independent statistics, the government has persistently failed to address unwarranted restrictions on civic space since its last UPR examination. Acute implementation gaps were found with regard to the rights to the freedom of expression and media freedom and issues relating to the operating environment for CSOs and HRDs.

1.5 We are deeply concerned by Tanzania’s persistent onslaught on media freedom, and the freedom of expression in general, which has been evidenced through the enactment and enforcement of stifling laws and regulations to silence critical opinions.
1.6 We are further alarmed by the targeting of CSOs and HRDs through stifling laws and practices, and judicial harassment in the form of spurious cases and flawed court processes.

1.7 As a result of these restrictions, civic space in Tanzania is currently rated as ‘repressed’ by the CIVICUS Monitor, indicating the existence of severe civic space restrictions.¹

- Section 2 of this submission examines Tanzania’s implementation of UPR recommendations and compliance with international human rights standards concerning the freedom of association.
- Section 3 examines Tanzania’s implementation of UPR recommendations and compliance with international human rights standards related to the protection of HRDs, civil society activists and journalists.
- Section 4 examines Tanzania’s implementation of UPR recommendations and compliance with international human rights standards concerning the freedom of expression, independence of the media and access to information.
- Section 5 examines Tanzania’s implementation of UPR recommendations and compliance with international human rights standards related to the freedom of peaceful assembly.
- Section 6 contains recommendations to address the concerns raised and to advance implementation of recommendations under the 2nd cycle.
- An annex on the implementation of 2nd cycle UPR recommendations related to civic space can be found in Section 7.

2. Freedom of association

2.1 During Tanzania’s examination under the 2nd UPR cycle, the government received one recommendation on the right to the freedom of association and creating an enabling environment for CSOs: “Combat impunity for crimes committed against sexual minorities, ensure that their right to assembly and association is upheld and ensure the right to equal treatment in accessing health services and justice.” Tanzania noted the recommendation. As evidenced below, the government has failed to take adequate measures to realise this recommendation.

2.2 Article 20 of the Tanzania Constitution guarantees the right to the freedom of association. Moreover, article 22 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), to which Tanzania is a state party, also guarantees the freedom of association. However, despite these commitments, the government has systematically

¹ CIVICUS Monitor, Tanzania: https://monitor.civicus.org/country/tanzania.
targeted CSOs through stifling laws and raids on their events and arrest of their staff members.

2.3 Several laws and regulations unduly restrict the work of CSOs. A public notice issued on 9 August 2017 by the Registrar of NGOs, under the Ministry of Health, Community Development, Gender, Elderly and Children, required all registered NGOs operating in Tanzania to undergo a ‘verification process’ between 21 August 2017 and 20 September 2017. Organisations failing to comply or cooperate faced deregistration.2

2.4 Civil society groups raised concerns over the verification process, including the lack of consultations with local CSOs in the planning of the process; the cumbersome amount of documentation required of CSOs, including the proof of payment of annual fees and receipts since registration; and the requirement to obtain a letter of recommendation from a Community Development Officer, which could be problematic, particularly for CSOs working on rights and governance that may have been critical of the government in the past. By October 2019, reports indicated that the NGO Coordination Board had deregistered at least three CSOs for alleged violations of Tanzanian ethics and culture.3

2.5 In 2018, the government introduced the Non-Governmental Organisations Act (Amendments) Regulations 2018, which require contracts and agreements exceeding Tsh 20 million (approx. US$8,600) to be submitted for approval to the Treasury and the Registrar of NGOs not later than 10 days after the contract date.4 CSOs raised concerns about their inability to carry out projects due to its stifling regulations.5 The representatives of several key Tanzanian CSOs stated that the short time frames and delays in processes at the registrar’s office were effectively blocking their ability to carry out projects.

2.6 Additionally, in June 2020, the Written Laws Bill (Miscellaneous Amendments Act (No. 3) of 2020) passed through the Tanzanian National Assembly despite heavy criticism. The bill essentially shuts down the possibility of public interest litigation by requiring those wishing to bring cases about enforcement of rights to show that the violation affects them personally.6 This takes away the ability of CSOs to initiate

---

5 CIVICUS Monitor, 8 September 2020, op. cit.
6 Ibid.
human rights cases on behalf of victims and communities, where the CSO has not been directly affected.

2.7 The authorities have also used other tactics to undermine the work of CSOs, including raiding the events of CSOs, and in some instances arresting staff members of these organisations. For example, on 12 July 2017, Bibiana Mushi and Nicholas Ngelela Luhende from Actions for Democracy and Local Governance were arrested while conducting a capacity-building workshop for local officials serving regions with a high concentration of extractive industries.Both were charged with disobedience of statutory duty under section 123 of the Penal Code and were only acquitted on 2 November 2017 by the Kishapu District Court.

2.8 The freezing of bank accounts is another tactic the authorities have used to restrict the work of CSOs. On 17 August 2020, the Tanzanian Human Rights Defenders Coalition (THRDC) had its bank accounts frozen following an order from the police, which reportedly received instructions to do so from government officials. THRDC’s coordinator was then summoned by the police to explain an alleged failure to submit its contractual agreements with donors to the State Treasury. THRDC was subsequently forced to suspend many of its operations and cancel planned events.

2.9 In November 2016, the government’s continuing crackdown on LGBTQI+ people and organisations extended to groups tackling HIV/AIDS when the authorities moved to end HIV/AIDS programmes serving LGBTQI+ people, claiming that foreign CSOs were ‘encouraging’ same-gender relationships through safe sex programmes. In a speech on 22 June 2017, President John Magufuli condemned CSOs working on LGBTQI+ rights issues, saying that they had “brought [Tanzania] drugs and homosexual practices that even cows disapprove of.” At a rally in the capital Dodoma on 25 June 2017, Home Affairs Minister Mgiwgulu Nchemba threatened organisations campaigning for LGBTI+ rights, vowing to deregister such organisations and imprison activists.

2.10 Opposition parties bore much of the brunt of restrictions on association as the October 2020 general election approached. On 13 August 2020, the northern...
headquarters of leading opposition party CHADEMA, in Arusha, was attacked with firebombs and badly damaged. On the same day, the leader of CHADEMA, Tundu Lissu, reported that his convoy had been attacked by people throwing stones.

3. Harassment, intimidation and attacks against human rights defenders, civil society activists and journalists

3.1 Under Tanzania’s previous UPR review, the government received three recommendations on the protection of HRDs, civil society representatives and journalists. The government supported one recommendation, concerning investigations into and justice for attacks on journalists, and noted the other two. One noted recommendation was partially implemented while the other two recommendations were not implemented.

3.2 Article 12 of the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders indicates that states shall take the necessary measures to ensure the protection of HRDs. Article 19 of the ICCPR guarantees the freedoms of association, peaceful assembly and opinion and expression. However, despite these protections, Tanzanian HRDs, activists and journalists continue to face attacks, enforced disappearance and threats to their physical integrity because of their work. Thorough investigations are rarely carried out, despite the commitment made in the previous UPR cycle. For example, journalist Azory Gwanda has been missing since November 2017, after being abducted, and there has been no credible investigation.

3.3 HRDs, activists and CSOs are working in increasingly restrictive conditions. The authorities continue to harass HRDs despite statements the government made during its second UPR that there is already a conducive environment for CSOs, HRDs and the media to operate freely in Tanzania.

3.4 The Tanzanian justice system is used as a tool to target HRDs. In several instances, HRDs, activists and journalists have been charged with economic crimes, including money laundering, which is a non-bailable offence. They include Tito Magoti, a lawyer and activist working for the Legal and Human Rights Centre, and his friend Theodory Giyani, an IT expert from a private company, who were arrested in December 2019 and charged with economic crimes. The case was postponed more than 20 times.

13 CIVICUS Monitor, 19 October 2020, op. cit.
15 ‘Where is Azory?’, Committee to Protect Journalists, https://cpj.org/whereisazory.
before both were released after agreeing to pay a fine. The case is strikingly similar to that of journalist Erick Kabendera, who in 2019 was charged with money laundering, tax evasion and leading organised crime, all non-bailable under Tanzanian law.

3.5 In a positive development, on 18 May 2020, the High Court of Tanzania declared section 148(5) of the Criminal Procedure Act (2002) to be unconstitutional. This section allows a police officer receiving an accused person not to grant bail if the person is accused of certain crimes, including economic offences. The Court granted the government 18 months to amend the law; currently the law continues to be used to target HRDs, activists and journalists.

3.6 LGBTQI+ people and those working with them are particular targets for harassment and physical abuse. In June 2020, the home of Clara Devisis, a trans woman HRD who works to protect the rights of sex workers, was broken into by two men who asked about her work. The men brutally attacked and raped two LGBTQI+ people who were staying at her home, and threatened to repeat the attack if Clara did not stop her work. In January 2021, Paschal Raymond, an LGBTQI+ rights defender, was killed in what appeared to be an attack related to his work.

3.7 Accounts of harassment, verbal threats and physical attacks against members of opposition parties increased in the lead-up to, and during, the October 2020 general election. UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Michelle Bachelet stated on 10 November 2020 that following the election, at least 150 opposition leaders and members had been arrested in mainland Tanzania and Zanzibar.

3.8 Presidential candidate Tundu Lissu, who has been a leading voice for human rights in Tanzania and on the African continent, was attacked and detained by the police on several occasions following his return to Tanzania in 2020. No one has yet been held accountable for shooting of Lissu in 2017. Opposition leader Freeman Mbowe was

---

attacked and seriously injured on 9 June 2020, with no arrests made in connection to the attack.23

4. Freedom of opinion and expression, independence of the media, and access to information

4.1 Under the second UPR cycle, the government received nine recommendations relating to the freedom of opinion and expression, including access to information. It accepted one and noted eight. The government pledged to “address concerns related to claims of interference with freedom of expression.” Of the nine recommendations, two noted recommendations were partially implemented, while the other seven were not implemented.

4.2 Article 19 of the ICCPR guarantees the right to the freedom of opinion and expression. Article 18 of the Constitution of Tanzania also guarantees the right to the freedom of expression, including the right to seek, receive and impart information, but does not explicitly provide for media freedom.

4.3 Various laws, enacted since 2015, have had a negative impact on the enjoyment of the right to the freedom of expression in Tanzania. These include the Cybercrimes Act (2015), Statistics Act (2015), Media Services Act (2016), Access to Information Act (2016) and Electronic and Postal Communications (Online Content) Regulations (2018), and amendments to a range of laws regulating the activities and registration of political parties and CSOs, adopted in 2019. Despite the acceptance of one recommendation to address concerns in the previous UPR cycle, these laws have strengthened restrictions, deepening the concerns.

4.4 Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, the authorities have increasingly used these laws to censor journalists and citizens who provide information that is not in line with official statistics and statements.

4.5 The Cybercrimes Act has been used to prosecute and judicially harass journalists and activists for posts on social media. In April 2020, journalists Micke William and Maxence Melo Mubyazi, whose organisation hosted Tanzania’s leading whistle-blowing website and discussion forum, JamiiForums.com, were convicted with obstruction of a police investigation, under the Cybercrimes Act.24

4.6 The law has been used to silence those who want to challenge the government, or simply make jokes, online. In May 2019, comedian Idris Sultan was arrested after posting a video laughing at President Magufuli’s clothing. He was questioned in connection to an alleged violation of the Cybercrimes Act, then later charged with failure to register a SIM card under the Electronic and Postal Regulations. In August 2020, Fadhili Silwimba was sentenced to three years in jail, or a fine of Tsh 5 million (approx. US$2,150), for allegedly insulting President Magufuli on Facebook.

4.7 The Electronic and Postal Regulations regulate all online content providers by forcing them to register with the Tanzania Communication Regulatory Authority (TCRA) and prohibiting certain content. In January 2020, a challenge to the regulations, brought before the High Court in Mtwara by CSOs, was dismissed. In July 2020, the Electronic and Postal Regulations were amended to add new restrictions, including criminalising the posting of “rumours” or messages that “ridicule, abuse or harm the reputation, prestige or status of the United Republic of Tanzania” on social media platforms. In addition, the regulations also ban content on “the outbreak of deadly or contagious diseases... without the approval of the respective authorities,” which would include COVID-19. These restrictions go beyond permissible restrictions on the freedom of expression in international human rights law, including the three-part test of article 19(3) of the ICCPR.

4.8 More positively, part of the Statistics Act was amended in 2019. The Act criminalised the publication of statistics without the approval of the National Bureau of Statistics, thus virtually blocking independent research on any public interest topic. Criminal liability was removed for publishing independent statistics. In practice, however, issues remain. In May 2020, the Head of the National Medical Laboratory was suspended following the publication of COVID-19 statistics that contradicted a previous government statement.

4.9 The Media Services Act creates strict rules for journalist accreditation and gives broad oversight powers to government agencies, enabling them to limit independent media and effectively censor them. This includes the banning, suspension and fining of newspapers. Four newspapers were banned in 2017, four others heavily fined in 2018 and least three banned in 2019. In 2020, at least six news outlets and journalists had their licences temporarily or permanently suspended and three news outlets were


fined. This included Kwanza TV, which was suspended for an Instagram post related to a COVID-19 health warning.  

4.10 In March 2019, the East African Court of Justice (EACJ) ruled that multiple sections of the Media Services Act unduly restrict media freedom and the freedom of expression, and called on the government to repeal the act. However, no action has yet been taken to amend the provisions in question.

4.11 Social media, including Twitter and WhatsApp, were largely restricted across Tanzania in the run-up to and during the October 2020 general election. The difficulties continued throughout and immediately after the election, with WhatsApp users complaining that they were unable to download photos or videos. Twitter was also only available when using a virtual private network. In addition, from 24 October to 11 November 2020, Tanzanian authorities ordered the TCRA to suspend bulk text messages and voice calls, in order to stop mass messaging or calling during the election.

5. Freedom of peaceful assembly

5.1 During Tanzania’s examination under the 2nd UPR cycle, the government received two recommendations on the right to the freedom of peaceful assembly. The government committed to “Guarantee fully the rights to freedom of assembly and association and ensure that the alleged perpetrators of human rights violations in this context are brought to justice” and “Create and maintain a safe and enabling environment where members of all political parties and civil society organizations can exercise their rights, including to freedom of assembly, in a manner which allows legitimate and peaceful dissent.” Tanzania accepted both recommendations. However, as evidenced below, the government has failed to implement either of these.

5.2 Article 21 of the ICCPR guarantees the freedom of peaceful assembly. Article 20 of the Tanzania Constitution also guarantees the right to the freedom of assembly. However, in practice and policy law enforcement officers often prohibit lawful assemblies. The Police Force and Auxiliary Services Act (1969) is a major hindrance to the freedom of assembly in Tanzania as the police use disputed provisions of the law, particularly sections 41, 43, 45 and 46, to ban public meetings, particularly political meetings, unjustifiably.

---

31 Twitter, @observerug, 26 October 2020, [https://twitter.com/observerug/status/1320606193413431297](https://twitter.com/observerug/status/1320606193413431297).
5.3 A ban on political gatherings announced by President Magufuli in June 2016 remained in force as the October 2020 general election approached.\textsuperscript{32} In practice, the ban affected opposition parties wishing to hold rallies, as opposition leaders and members were targeted using this law to restrict their right to peaceful assembly.

5.4 On 27 March 2018, a court in Dar es Salaam charged Freeman Mbowe, chairman of CHADEMA, along with five other senior party officials, on allegations of sedition, incitement to violence and organising an illegal assembly, among other charges, after they held protests on 16 February 2018.\textsuperscript{33} Although they were released on bail on 3 April 2018, they were eventually sentenced in March 2020 to five months’ imprisonment or fines totalling 350 million Tanzanian shillings (approx. US$152,000) on charges including sedition and unlawful assembly.\textsuperscript{34} Numerous opposition legislators had faced various politically motivated offences in the courts.

5.5 Similarly, citizens and activists who tried to organise peaceful protests faced restrictions from the authorities. A protest against Tanzania’s growing restrictions on the freedom of expression and media freedom, which had been organised on social media for Union Day on 26 April 2018, was prevented by threats, intimidation and the deployment of security forces.\textsuperscript{35} Police Chief Gilles Muroto warned those planning to protest, threatening that they “will seriously suffer... they will be beaten like stray dogs.” At least nine protesters were arrested in Dar es Salaam, while at least seven people accused of mobilising the protest were arrested on 24 April 2018. Authorities also detained opposition member Elizabeth Mambosho for inciting demonstrations on social media on 2 April 2018. Previously, on 21 March 2018, two people were arrested for calling on people to protest.

5.6 On 23rd June 2020, opposition leader Zitto Kabwe and several members of his Alliance for Change and Transparency Wazalendo party were arrested for holding an unlawful assembly after they held an internal meeting in Kilwa district. They were released on bail the following day.\textsuperscript{36}

5.7 Similarly, ahead of the October 2020 general election, reports were made about the killing of protesters during election-related demonstrations. On the eve of election


\textsuperscript{34}CIVICUS Monitor, 22 April 2020, op. cit.

\textsuperscript{35}CIVICUS Monitor, 21 May 2018, op. cit.

\textsuperscript{36}CIVICUS Monitor, 8 September 2020, op. cit.
day, opposition leaders accused the police of shooting nine people dead during protests against alleged vote rigging in Zanzibar.

6. **Recommendations to the Government of Tanzania**

CIVICUS and the East and Horn of Africa Human Rights Defenders Project (DefendDefenders) call on the Government of Tanzania to create and maintain, in law and in practice, an enabling environment for civil society, in accordance with the rights enshrined in the ICCPR, the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders and Human Rights Council resolutions 22/6, 27/5 and 27/31.

At a minimum, the following conditions should be guaranteed: the freedoms of association, peaceful assembly and expression, the right to operate free from unwarranted state interference, the right to communicate and cooperate, the right to seek and secure funding and the state’s duty to protect. In the light of this, the following specific recommendations are made:

16.1 **Freedom of association**

- Take measures to foster a safe, respectful and enabling environment for civil society, including by removing legal and policy measures that unwarrantedly limit the right to association.

- Review the Non-Governmental Organisations Act (Amendments) Regulations 2018 to ensure that its requirements and processes do not unduly delay the work of CSOs or restrict the freedoms of association and peaceful assembly, and bring its provisions into compliance with article 21 and 22 of ICCPR.

- Review the Written Laws Bill (Miscellaneous Amendments Act (No. 3) of 2020) to enable CSOs to bring human rights public interest cases to court on behalf of victims and communities.

- Remove all undue restrictions on the ability of CSOs to receive international and domestic funding in line with best practices articulated by the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association.

- Abolish criminal responsibility for organising and participating in the activities of non-registered organisations and lift the ban on the activities of non-registered organisations.
• Immediately reinstate all CSOs that have been arbitrarily and unduly sanctioned or deregistered.

• Cease unwarranted raids on civil society groups and unjustifiable disruptions to legitimate conferences, seminars and other activities.

• Refrain from acts leading to the closure of CSOs or the suspension of their peaceful activities, and instead promote a meaningful political dialogue that allows and embraces diverging views, including those of human rights defenders, CSOs, journalists, political activists and others.

• Combat impunity for crimes committed against LGBTQI+ people, ensure that their rights to association and peaceful assembly are upheld and ensure the right to equal treatment in accessing health services and justice.

16.2 Protection of human rights defenders

• Conduct impartial, swift, thorough, transparent and effective investigations into all outstanding and future cases of attacks, killings and disappearances of HRDs, CSO activists and journalists, and bring the perpetrators of such offences to justice.

• Immediately and unconditionally release all HRDs, including journalists, detained for exercising their fundamental rights to the freedoms of association, peaceful assembly and expression, and review their cases to prevent further harassment.

• Review the freezing of bank accounts and subsequent closure of the Tanzania Human Rights Defenders Coalition.

• Comply with the order from the High Court to amend section 148(5) of the Criminal Procedure Act (2002).

16.3 Freedom of expression, independence of the media and access to information

• Review the Cybercrimes Act (2015) so as to ensure that the freedom of expression and opinion is protected online, and allow bloggers, journalists and other internet users to play a full and active role in promoting and protecting human rights.
● Repeal the Media Services Act (2016), as called upon in the March 2019 decision of the EACJ.

● Review the Electronic and Postal Communications (Online Content) Regulations (2018) to bring it into line with international human rights obligations and to ensure that journalists and writers may work freely and without fear of retribution for expressing critical opinions or covering topics that the government may deem sensitive.

● Reinstate all media outlets that have been unwarrantedly suspended or closed under the Media Services Act.

● Ensure that the rights of journalists and representatives of the media, and access to information, are protected during periods of elections and emergencies, in accordance with international, regional and national law.

● Ensure that all the journalists still in detention are immediately and unconditionally released.

● Organise inclusive consultations with journalists and media in order to resolve disputes that exist concerning existing media laws, and to address concerns related to the freedom of expression.

6.4 Freedom of assembly

● Adopt best practices on the freedom of peaceful assembly, as put forward by the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association in his 2012 annual report, which calls for procedures in which there is simple notification of assemblies being held, rather than explicit permission being needed to assemble.

● Amend the Police Force and Auxiliary Services Act (1969) in order to guarantee fully the right to the freedom of peaceful assembly.

● Immediately and unconditionally release all protesters, journalists and HRDs detained for exercising their right to the freedom of peaceful assembly and review their cases to prevent further harassment.
• Review and if necessary, update existing human rights training for police and security forces, with the assistance of independent CSOs, to foster the more consistent application of international human rights standards, including the UN Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms.

• Publicly condemn at the highest levels the use of excessive and brutal force by security forces in the dispersal of protests, launch a formal investigation into any such instances and bring the perpetrators to justice.

• Provide recourse for judicial review and effective remedy, including compensation, in cases of unlawful denial of the right to the freedom of peaceful assembly by state authorities.

6.5 Access to UN Special Procedures mandate holders

• The Government should extend a standing invitation to all UN Special Procedure mandate holders and prioritise official visits by the: 1) Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders; 2) Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression; 3) Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association; 4) Special Rapporteur on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers; 5) Working Group on Arbitrary Detention.

6.6 State engagement with civil society

• Implement transparent and inclusive mechanisms of public consultations with CSOs on all issues mentioned above and enable the more effective involvement of civil society in the preparation of law and policy.

• Include CSOs in the UPR process before finalising and submitting the national report.

• Systematically consult with civil society on the implementation of UPR recommendations, including by holding periodical comprehensive consultations with a diverse range of civil society.

• Incorporate the results of this UPR into action plans for the promotion and protection of all human rights, taking into account the proposals of civil society, and present a midterm evaluation report to the Human Rights Council on the implementation of the recommendations of this session.
### 7. Annex: Assessment of implementation of civic space recommendations under the 2nd cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Evaluation /comments on the level of implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 134.95: Investigate promptly all attacks against journalists and ensure justice and adequate redress for the victims | supported | HRDS Attacks on journalists | Not implemented  
Attacks have continued, with no investigation. No investigation yet for high profile cases.  
Source: 3.2 |
| 134.96 Address concerns related to claims of interference with freedom of expression | supported | Freedom of opinion and Expression | Not implemented  
Legislation has been enacted, or strengthened, which directly interferes with the freedom of expression. The government increased the use of such laws and policies.  
Source: 4.3, 4.5, 4.7 |
| 134.97 Guarantee fully the rights to freedom of assembly and association and ensure that the alleged perpetrators of human rights violations in this context are brought to justice | supported | Peaceful Assembly, Association | Not implemented  
Police forces continue to unduly restrict gatherings, especially political gatherings, while CSOs continue to be targeted because of their work. Perpetrators are yet to be brought to justice  
Source: 2.4, 2.7, 2.9, 2.10, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>134.98 Create and maintain a safe and enabling environment where members of all political parties and civil society organizations can exercise their rights, including to freedom of assembly, in a manner which allows legitimate and peaceful dissent</th>
<th>supported</th>
<th>Peaceful Assembly</th>
<th>Not implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ahead of the October 2020 general election, opposition parties and leaders were particularly targeted with a ban on gatherings, arbitrary arrests and attacks on their offices. CSOs continue to face hurdles such as freezing of bank accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: 2.4, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.1. Ensure the full compliance of the Media Service Bill of 2015 with the right to freedom of expression as guaranteed under the ICCPR and that its provisions facilitate the work of independent and pluralistic media, including citizen journalists</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and Expression Media</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Media Services Bill was strengthened in the Media Services Act and restrictive provisions concerning the freedom of expression remain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: 4.9, 4.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.2. Adopt and implement, through an inclusive process, a revised Access to Information Act and Media Services Bill in line with international human rights law and</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and Expression</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neither have been revised, despite the EACJ ruling to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: 4.9, 4.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Note:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.5. Amend all laws infringing on press freedom, in particular the Statistics Act and the Cyber Crimes Act.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and Expression Media</td>
<td>Partially implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Note:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Statistics Act has been amended to decriminalise the publication of statistics without the approval of the National Bureau of Statistics. No amendment of the Cybercrime Act.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Source:</strong> 4.5, 4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.6. Amend the recently adopted Cybercrime legislation to make sure it does not infringe human rights and redraft the Access to Information Bill and the Media Service Bill of 2015 in line with international human rights law and highest human rights standards</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and Media</td>
<td><strong>Not implemented</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.7. Ensure that the legal framework and enforcement of laws, including the Cybercrimes Act and other laws affecting members of the media, are fully consistent with the human rights and fundamental freedoms in Tanzania’s Constitution and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and Expression Media</td>
<td><strong>Not implemented</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>Freedom of opinion and expression, media, access to information</td>
<td>Not implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.8.</td>
<td>Undertakes a thorough review with key stakeholders and civil society of its existing Cyber Crime and Statistic Acts and proposed Media Services and Access to Information bills, to meet human rights obligations</td>
<td></td>
<td>No such review has taken place and all laws remain in place, or have been passed. The Statistics Act was amended but without civil society involvement. Source: 4.5, 4.8, 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.67</td>
<td>Duly safeguard freedom of speech and the right to information in the fight against an emerging brand of criminality in the context of cybercrime through the adoption and implementation of suitable regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td>No regulations concerning cybercrime that safeguard freedom of speech and information have been adopted. Existing cybercrime laws are used to target journalists and activists. Source: 4.3, 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.66</td>
<td>Combat impunity for crimes committed against sexual minorities, ensure that their right to assembly and association is upheld and ensure the right to equal treatment in accessing health services and justice</td>
<td></td>
<td>LGBTQI+ people and those working with them are particular targets for harassment and physical abuse. Source: 2.9, 3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
136.24. Guarantee freedom of expression and association through amending the media laws (that is the Cybercrime Act, Statistics Act, both of 2015 and Newspaper Act of 1976) and to ensure a conducive environment for civil society organizations, human rights defenders and media to operate freely in accordance with the Constitution of the United Republic of Tanzania and the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noted</th>
<th>Expression, Association, HRDs</th>
<th>Partially Implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the Statistics Act has been amended, restrictive media laws are still in place, with the Media Services Act being passed and strengthened, contributing to the silencing of CSOs, HRDs and the media. The Newspaper Act was repealed, but was replaced by the Media Services Act.

Source: 3.3, 3.4, 3.5
| 137.68 | Take appropriate measures to ensure that civil society, especially human rights defenders, may operate in a safe and enabling environment free from reprisals and to remove restrictions impeding their work, both in law and in practice, including by guaranteeing the rights to freedom of expression, association and assembly in accordance with international human rights standards |
| Noted | Expression, Association, Peaceful Assembly, HRDs |
| **Not implemented** | Reprisals against HRDs have continued and worsened during the election period. Civic space has generally deteriorated, with HRDs and activists being arrested and held without bail. |
| Source: 3.3, 3.4, 3.6 |